16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTOPHER H. WING A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 1101 E STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 (916) 441-4888 State Bar #063214

ATTORNEYS FOR: Defendant

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

٧.

TIMOTHY CHARLES MATTOS,

Defendant.

No. 2:04-cr-0308-MCE

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER CONTINUING STATUS
CONFERENCE HEARING FROM,
JANUARY 10, 2006 TO, JANUARY 17,
2006: EXCLUSION OF TIME

HON. MORRISON C. ENGLAND

It is hereby stipulated by the defendant through his undersigned counsel, and the United States, through it's undersigned counsel, that the date now set for a Status Conference Hearing, January 10 at 8:30 am; be continued without appearance to January 17, 2006 at 8:30 am, and that time under the Speedy Trial Act should be excluded through that date.

The Court is aware of the nature of the case and the efforts the parties have made to resolve it and the civil litigation still pending in the District of New Jersey.

At this point, the parties have determined that rather than trying to accomplish what has that difficult logistical feat, that we will attempt to resolve the criminal case and let the civil case proceed to whatever end it reaches.

As the parties have previously stipulated at the arraignment of this case before the Magistrate Judge that this is a complex case, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(ii), as the case involves complex factual and legal issues and

///

tremendous amounts of discovery, most of which is computer generated and which is 1 voluminous. 2 As noted in the previous Stipulation and Order, Mr. DaSilva, Counsel for Verizon, 3 Mr. Leigh Schachter, AUSA Matthew Stegman and myself met with Mr. MATTOS on 4 November 9, 2005 at 9:00 am in the office of the United States Attorney. That the day-5 long meeting was productive from everyone's point of view and the parties were taking 6 steps to further resolve issues developed at the meeting. 7 However we have been unable to reach any global resolution and thus the 8 parties to this case request one further week to resolve issues in the government's 9 proposed plea agreement. 10 As in the past, AUSA Stegman and I have discussed the status of the case and 11 stipulate to this request and Mr. Stegman has requested that I file this Stipulation and 12 Order and has again allowed me to sign his name to this Stipulation & Proposed Order. 13 The parties further stipulate that time be excluded pursuant to the Speedy Trial 14 Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(ii), complexity of the case and (iv) to allow sufficient time 15 for counsel to be prepared; [Local Codes T2 and T4], until the next appearance, on 16 January 17, 2006 or by further order of this Court. 17 18 Dated: January 9, 2006 19 20 McGREGOR W. SCOTT 21 United States Attorney 22 23 /S/ By: MATTHEW STEGMAN 24 Assistant U. S. Attorney 25 26 27

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTOPHER H. WING SACRAMENTO, CA.

28

CHRISTOPHER H. WING Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

. .

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTOPHER H. WING SACRAMENTO, CA. This matter having come on before me pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS ORDERED THAT: the Status Conference Hearing now set for January 10, 2006 at 8:30 am is vacated and the matter set for a Status Conference Hearing on, January 17, 2006 at 8:30 am.

Further, the Court finds that time is excluded based upon the representation of the parties and such time will be excluded pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(ii), complexity of the case and (iv) to allow sufficient time for counsel to be prepared; [Local Codes T2 and T4], until the next appearance, on January 17, 2006 or by further order of this Court.

Dated: January 11, 2006

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR)
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE